

UNITED STARS DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/134,80	1 08/14/	98 RACZ		N	3431.1US
		QM12/0930	٦	E)	KAMINER
ALLEN C TURNER				FINKEL,S	
PO BOX 25	TT & ROSSA 50			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SALT LAKE CITY UT 8411		4110		3763	
				DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trad marks

09/30/99



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/134,801

Applicant(s)

Racz

Examiner

Sharon Finkel

Group Art Unit 3763



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935			
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	o respond within the period for response will cause the		
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
☐ Claim(s)			
X Claim(s) 1-3, 5-8, 10-14, and 16-19			
X Claim(s) 4, 9, and 15			
☐ Claims			
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing The drawing(s) filed on	ed to by the Examiner. isapproveddisapproved. under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). the priority documents have been ber)		
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).		
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	(s)3		
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Application/Control Number: 09/134,801

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: catheter member receptacle 51 (page 4, line 26), and insertion member receptacle 26 (page 5, line 3). Correction is required.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference sign(s) not mentioned in the description: 36 and 52. Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 6, 7, 10, 11, 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Applicant defines the dependent features in terms of how the device operates instead of defining the structural limitations which is confusing because the dependent claims appear to be method claims. To correct the problem, claim 6 could be rewritten as, "The lock washer of claim 5, wherein said tube engagement flanges are adapted to flex towards the center of said ring to decrease the diameter of said tube receptacle." Claim 7 could be corrected by inserting --are adapted to-- after the word "flanges" in line 2. Claim 10 could be

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/134,801

Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

corrected by changing "collapses" to --is adapted to collapse--. Similar corrections should be made to claims 11 and 17-19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 12-14 and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Smith et al., U.S. 5,603,702. See especially figures 5-7.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 7. Claims 4, 9 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 10 and 11 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The claims are directed to the embodiment where there is a collapsible web disposed between adjacent flanges of the tube engagement flanges. None of the prior art discloses or suggests these webs

Application/Control Number: 09/134,801

Art Unit: 3763

Page 4

which are useful to facilitate the transition of the tube engagement flanges from the engaged state to the relaxed state.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sharon Finkel whose telephone number is (703) 305-0154.

Sharon Finkel

September 25, 1999